arXiv:hep-th/9806232v1 29 Jun 1998

A relativistically covariant stochastic model for systems with a fluctuating number of particles

L. M. Morato* and L. Viola†

[1] *Facoltà di Scienze, Università di Verona,*
Strada Le Grazie, 37134 Verona, Italy
[2] *Dipartimento di Fisica "G. Galilei", Università di Padova,*
Via F. Marzolo, 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

We construct a relativistically covariant stochastic model for systems of non-interacting spinless particles whose number undergoes random fluctuations. The model is compared with the canonical quantization of the free scalar field in the limit of infinite volume.

PACS numbers: 03.30 02.50.E,W 03.70

I. INTRODUCTION

Suppose we are given, in the reference frame of an assigned inertial observer $\mathcal{O}$, a collection of non-interacting relativistic spinless particles with mass m and four-momentum $k := (\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}, \vec{k})$ (in units $\hbar$=c=1), such that the admissible three-momenta $\vec{k}$ belong to a given finite or countable set K. In this letter we investigate whether it is possible to give a relativistically covariant statistical description of this simple system in case the number of particles at fixed $\vec{k}$ is allowed to undergo random fluctuations. Actually, the first problem to be addressed is how to model in a Lorentz-invariant way such a fluctuation for the single family of particles with given momentum $\vec{k}$. More in general, this difficulty is related to the longstanding issue of how to build up a probabilistically consistent description of stochastic processes within a relativistic setting. Leaving aside a throughout discussion here, we refer the reader to [1,2] for classical references on this debated topic and to [3-14] for more recent contributions, mainly related to stochastic quantization.

In this work, we exploit some ideas introduced within the so-called *comoving approach* to stochastic quantization, as proposed in [12,13]. Roughly speaking, it will be assumed that any observer $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$, which moves at velocity $\vec{v}_{\vec{k}} := \vec{k}/\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}$, experiences a fluctuating number of particles N_k, and that such a number can be described through a stochastic process mathematically well defined on a probability space assigned by $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$.

For any fixed momentum $\vec{k}$, the plane waves associated to each individual quantum particle in the collection can differ only by a constant phase, which is assumed to be completely random in $[0, 2\pi)$. Accordingly, the superposition yields a plane wave whose squared amplitude is related to N_k and whose spatial momentum is equal to zero in the reference frame of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$.

The key observations are that the application of the Lorentz boost $\Lambda(\vec{v}_{\vec{k}})$ to this zero-momentum plane wave with fluctuating amplitude provides a *stochastic field* on the Minkowski space M^4 and that such a field is *Lorentz-invariant by construction*. The iteration of this procedure for any $\vec{k} \in K$ generates a countable collection of invariant stochastic fields indexed by $\vec{k} \in K$.

Finally, we examine the case whereby the set K is defined by the allowed momenta of a free relativistic quantum particle with wavefunction subjected to periodic boundary conditions in a cubic box introduced in the frame of $\mathcal{O}$. Performing, as usual, the limit to infinite volume of the basic statistical invariant relating the stochastic field at two different events in M^4, we find that it is possible to assign the statistics of the original comoving processes so that the limit coincides with the two-points Wightman function of the quantized free field.

---

*Corresponding author; e-mail: morato@biotech.sci.univr.it

†Present address: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139; e-mail: vlorenza@mit.edu

II. CONSTRUCTION OF THE LORENTZ INVARIANT STOCHASTIC FIELD

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an assigned inertial observer and let $k := (\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}, \vec{k})$ denote the four-momentum of a zero-charge spinless quantum particle of mass m in the associated frame of reference (in units $\hbar$=c=1). The state of the particle is represented by the plane wave

$$\phi_k(x) = \mathrm{e}^{i(k_\mu x^\mu + \varepsilon)} \ , \qquad x \in \mathsf{M}^4 \ , \tag{1}$$

where $x^\mu = (t, \vec{x})$ are the space-time coordinates associated to $\mathcal{O}$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 2\pi)$ is a constant phase factor. To the vector $\vec{k}$ one can associate the constant velocity field

$$\vec{v}_k(\vec{x}) = \vec{v}_{\vec{k}} := \frac{\vec{k}}{\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}} \ , \qquad \vec{k} \in K, \vec{x} \in \mathsf{R}^3 \ . \tag{2}$$

We now introduce an observer $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$ which moves with velocity $\vec{v}_{\vec{k}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}$. We will call this the *k-comoving observer.* (We assume the coordinates of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$ coincide at $t = 0$)

It can be easily verified that the Lorentz boost associated to the velocity $\vec{v}_{\vec{k}}$, $\Lambda(\vec{v}_{\vec{k}})$, transforms the state represented by $\phi_k(x)$ into the zero-momentum plane wave

$$\tilde{\phi}_k(\tilde{t}_k) = \mathrm{e}^{-i(m\tilde{t}_k + \varepsilon)} \ , \qquad \tilde{t}_k \in \mathsf{R} \ , \tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{t}_k$ denotes the proper time of the observer $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$, i.e.

$$\tilde{t}_k = \tilde{t}_k(x) = -\frac{1}{m}\left(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}\, t\right) \ , \qquad x \in \mathsf{M}^4 \ . \tag{4}$$

We stress that the transformed wave function $\tilde{\phi}_k$ does not depend on the k-comoving spatial coordinates.

Let now

$$\phi_k^{(\varepsilon_i)} := \mathrm{e}^{i(k_\mu x^\mu + \varepsilon_i)} \ , \qquad i = 1, \ldots, N_k \ , \tag{5}$$

denote the plane wave associated to a generic single i-th particle in the collection. We assume that $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,N_k}$ is generated by a completely random sampling in the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. The resulting wave function is then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \phi_k^{(\varepsilon_i)} = \mu_k\, \mathrm{e}^{ik_\mu x^\mu} \ , \qquad x \in \mathsf{M}^4 \ , \tag{6}$$

where

$$\mu_k := \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \mathrm{e}^{i\varepsilon_j} \ . \tag{7}$$

We get then

$$\mu_k \mu_k^* = N_k + \sum_{i,j\neq i=1}^{N_k} (\cos\varepsilon_i \cos\varepsilon_j + \sin\varepsilon_i \sin\varepsilon_j) \ . \tag{8}$$

Under the random sampling assumption made above we finally obtain

$$\lim_{N_k \uparrow \infty} \mu_k \mu_k^* = N_k \ . \tag{9}$$

Alternatively, we can allow N_k to take values in $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and interpret $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,N_k}$ as a set of random variables which are independent and identically distributed with common uniform density on $[0, 2\pi)$. Denoting by $E_{(phases)}$ the average over all possible values of $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,N_k}$ we immediately get

$$E_{(phases)}\{\mu_k \mu_k^*\} = N_k \ . \tag{10}$$

In the reference frame of $\mathcal{O}$, we associate to the N_k-particles system the field

$$\phi_k^{(N_k)}(x) = \mu_k \, \mathrm{e}^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} \ , \qquad x \in \mathsf{M}^4 \ , \tag{11}$$

which becomes the real-variable function

$$\tilde{\phi}_k^{(N_k)}(\tilde{t}_k) = \mu_k \, \mathrm{e}^{-im\tilde{t}_k} \ , \qquad \tilde{t}_k \in \mathsf{R} \ , \tag{12}$$

in the comoving reference frame of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$.

Let us now also put

$$\mu_k = \mu_k^0 \, \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi} \ . \tag{13}$$

Eliminating the overall constant phase φ we introduce then the following complex scalar function in the comoving coordinates:

$$\tilde{X}_k(\tilde{t}_k) = \mu_k^0 \, \mathrm{e}^{-im\tilde{t}_k} \ , \qquad \tilde{t}_k \in \mathsf{R} \ . \tag{14}$$

Bearing in mind that a fluctuation of N_k implies a fluctuation of μ_k^0, we promote the latter to a stochastic process, denoted by $\left\{\tilde{X}_k^0(s), s \in \mathsf{R}\right\}$:

$$\tilde{X}_k(\tilde{t}_k) = \mu_k^0 \, \mathrm{e}^{-im\tilde{t}_k} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_k(\tilde{t}_k) := \tilde{X}_k^0(\tilde{t}_k) \, \mathrm{e}^{-im\tilde{t}_k} \ , \qquad \tilde{t}_k \in \mathsf{R} \ . \tag{15}$$

Notice that the process $\tilde{X}_k^0$ must be understood as defined on a suitable probability space assigned by the k-comoving observer $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k$.

The image of the process $\tilde{X}_k(\tilde{t}_k)$ in the reference frame of $\mathcal{O}$ is found by application of $\Lambda(\vec{v}_{\vec{k}})$, namely

$$X_k(x) = \tilde{X}_k^0(\tilde{t}_k(x)) \, \mathrm{e}^{ik_\mu x^\mu} \ , \qquad x \in \mathsf{M}^4 \ , \tag{16}$$

with $\tilde{t}_k(x)$ given by (4). *Thus, the relativistic setting forces the (complex) stochastic process $\tilde{X}_k$ to be transformed into a (complex) stochastic field which is Lorentz-invariant by construction.*

Notice that for N_k sufficiently large we have

$$X_k(x)X_k(x)^* = \tilde{X}_k^0(\tilde{t}_k(x))^2 \approx \begin{array}{l}\text{no. of particles with momentum } (m,\vec{0}) \text{ observed} \\ \text{by the } k\text{-comoving observer at time } \tilde{t}_k(x) \ .\end{array} \tag{17}$$

Alternatively, we have, for finite $N_k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

$$E_{(phases)}\{X_k(x)X_k(x)^*\} = E_{(phases)}\{\tilde{X}_k^0(\tilde{t}_k(x))^2\} = \begin{array}{l}\text{no. of particles with momentum} \\ (m,\vec{0}) \text{ observed by the } k\text{-comoving} \\ \text{observer at time } \tilde{t}_k(x) \ .\end{array} \tag{18}$$

III. THE TWO-POINTS INVARIANT AND A CONNECTION WITH THE QUANTIZED FREE FIELD

Let now K denote a finite set of three-momenta $\vec{k}$ and let us iterate the procedure outlined in the previous section for every $\vec{k} \in K$. We are then left with a collection of Lorentz-invariant stochastic fields that we represent as

$$\vec{X}(x) := (X_1(x), X_2(x), \ldots, X_k(x)), \qquad x \in \mathsf{M}^4 \ , \tag{19}$$

Then $\vec{X}(x)$ is a stochastic vector field mathematically well defined on a probability space which is the product of the individual probability spaces associated to each k-comoving observer $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k, k \in K$.

Furthermore, it is possible to introduce in a natural way an invariant scalar product between complex stochastic vectors which are obtained by considering the field $\vec{X}(x)$ at two different points in M^4:

$$\begin{aligned}(\vec{X}(x_1), \vec{X}(x_2)) &:= \sum_{\vec{k} \in K} E\{X_k(x_1)X_k^*(x_2)\} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{k} \in K} E\{\tilde{X}_k^0(\tilde{t}_k(x_1))\tilde{X}_k^0(\tilde{t}_k(x_2))\}\mathrm{e}^{ik_\mu(x_1^\mu - x_2^\mu)} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with $x_1, x_2 \in \mathsf{M}^4, x_1 \neq x_2$, and the symbol E denoting mathematical expectation over the field realizations. Both (19) and (20) are understood to be extended to the countable case in a formal sense. The function defined by (20) on $\mathsf{M}^4 \times \mathsf{M}^4 \rightarrow \mathsf{R}$ is Lorentz-invariant by construction.

In order to give more explicit expressions, we need to introduce at this point some physically reasonable assumptions on the family of basic stochastic processes $\{\tilde{X}^0_k\}_{\vec{k}\in K}$. Specifically, considering only the marginal statistics we will assume that there exist real functions $b : K \rightarrow \mathsf{R}^+$ and $R : K \times \mathsf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathsf{R}$ such that, for every $\vec{k} \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} &i)\ \tilde{X}^0_k(s) \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathsf{R}\ , \\ &ii)\ E\{\tilde{X}^0_k(s)\} = b(k) < +\infty \quad \forall s \in \mathsf{R}\ , \\ &iii) Cov\{\tilde{X}^0_k(s), \tilde{X}^0_k(s')\} = R(k, |s - s'|) \quad \forall s, s' \in \mathsf{R}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

the symbol Cov denoting as usual the covariance. Exploiting these assumptions, the two-points invariant function (20) takes the form

$$(\vec{X}(x_1), \vec{X}(x_2)) = \sum_{\vec{k}\in K} \left\{R(k, |\tilde{t}_k(x_1) - \tilde{t}_k(x_2)|) + b(k)^2\right\} \mathrm{e}^{ik_\mu(x_1^\mu - x_2^\mu)}\ , \qquad x_1, x_2 \in \mathsf{M}^4\ . \tag{22}$$

The simplest rough choice for a stochastic process satisfying (21) is

$$\tilde{X}^0_k(s) := \begin{cases} |\nu(s)| & \text{if } |\nu(s)| \leq 2b(k)\ , \\ |\nu(s)| - 2b(k) & \text{otherwise}\ , \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

where $\nu(s)$ denotes a white noise. In this case $\tilde{X}^0_k(s)$ is independent on $\tilde{X}^0_k(s')$ for all $s' \neq s$ and, therefore,

$$(\vec{X}(x_1), \vec{X}(x_2)) = \sum_{\vec{k}\in K} b(k)^2\, \mathrm{e}^{ik_\mu(x_1^\mu - x_2^\mu)}\ , \qquad x_1, x_2 \in \mathsf{M}^4\ . \tag{24}$$

Let now K be defined by the set of the admissible momenta of a quantum particle of mass m confined to a cubic box of side L in the reference frame $\mathcal{O}$ and subjected to periodic boundary conditions. Exploiting the standard infinite-volume substitution,

$$\frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}\in K} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k\ , \qquad L \rightarrow \infty\ , \tag{25}$$

we get

$$\frac{(\vec{X}(x_1), \vec{X}(x_2))}{L^3} \longrightarrow \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\, b(k)^2\, \mathrm{e}^{ik_\mu(x_1^\mu - x_2^\mu)}\ , \qquad x_1, x_2 \in \mathsf{M}^4\ . \tag{26}$$

It is worth to note that the manifest relativistic invariance is broken on the left side of (26). However, it can be restored on the right side by requiring a Lorentz-invariant measure in the momentum space, i.e. by choosing

$$b(k)^2 := \alpha \frac{m}{\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}}\ , \tag{27}$$

α being any positive adimensional constant. Accordingly,

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha m L^3} (\vec{X}(x_1), \vec{X}(x_2)) \longrightarrow \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}}\, \mathrm{e}^{i(k_\mu(x_1^\mu - x_2^\mu)}\ , \qquad x_1, x_2 \in \mathsf{M}^4\ , \tag{28}$$

which can be readily identified with the familiar two-points Wightman function for the free relativistic scalar field of canonical quantization [15].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have built up a simple-minded stochastic model for systems consisting of a fluctuating number of free, relativistic non-interacting spinless quantum particles, leading to a Lorentz-invariant stochastic field on the Minkowsky space.

Since no detail concerning the origin of the fluctuations is incorporated in the model, the set K of the admissible momenta as well as the statistics of the basic processes $\left\{\tilde{X}_k^0\right\}_{k\in K}$ are left largely unspecified at this stage.

This fact leaves the possibility of applying the method to different physical situations.

In particular, defining the set K as the allowed momenta for a free quantum particle subjected to periodic boundary conditions, it is shown that, under the simplest assumptions on the marginal statistics of $\left\{\tilde{X}_k^0\right\}_{k\in K}$, the arising mathematical structure exhibits some non obvious analogies with the canonical quantization of the free scalar field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Valuable comments by G. Inverso are gratefully acknowledged.

---

[1] Dudley, R. M., "Lorentz-invariant Markov processes in relativistic phase space", Ark. f. Mat. **6**, 241 (1965).

[2] Hakim, R., "A covariant theory of relativistic Brownian motion. I. Local equilibrium", J. Math. Phys. **6**, 1482 (1965). Hakim, R., "Relativistic stochastic processes", J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1805 (1968).

[3] Guerra, F., Ruggiero, P., "New interpretation of the Euclidean-Markov field in the framework of physical Minkowski space-time", Phys. Rev. Lett. **31**, 1022 (1973).

[4] Lehr, W. J., Park, J. L., "A stochastic derivation of the Klein-Gordon equation", J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1235 (1977).

[5] Guerra, F., Ruggiero, P., "A note on relativistic Markov processes", Lett. Nuovo Cimento **23**, 529 (1978); Dohrn, D., Guerra, F., Ruggiero, P., "Spinning particles and relativistic particles in the framework of Nelson's stochastic mechanics", in: *Feynman Path Integral*, S. Albeverio et al, eds. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).

[6] Guerra, F., "Structural aspects of stochastic mechanics and stochastic field theory", Phys. Rep. **77**, 263 (1981).

[7] De Angelis, G. F., Jona-Lasinio, G., Serva, M., Zanghi, N., "Stochastic mechanics of a Dirac particle in two spacetime dimensions", J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **19**, 865 (1986).

[8] Serva, M., "Relativistic stochastic processes associated to Klein-Gordon equation", Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **49**, 415 (1988).

[9] De Angelis, G. F., "Stochastic mechanics of a relativistic spinless particle", J. Math. Phys. **31**, 1408 (1990); De Angelis, G. F., Serva, M., "Jump processes and diffusions in relativistic stochastic mechanics", Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **53**, 301 (1990).

[10] Kaloyerou, P. N., Vigier, J. P., "Evolution time Klein-Gordon equation and a derivation of its non-linear counterpart", J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **22**, 663 (1989).

[11] Nelson, E., "Clock space", in: *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Probabilistic Methods in Mathematical Physics*, F. Guerra, M. I. Loffredo and C. Marchioro, eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).

[12] Morato, L. M., "Stochastic derivation of the Klein-Gordon equation from classical relativistic action", Phys. Lett. A **154**, 327 (1991); Morato, L. M., "A new approach to the problem of relativistic kinematics in stochastic mechanics and a derivation of Klein-Gordon equation", in: *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Probabilistic Methods in Mathematical Physics*, F. Guerra, M. I. Loffredo and C. Marchioro, eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).

[13] Morato, L. M., Viola, L., "Markov diffusions in comoving coordinates and stochastic quantization of the free relativistic spinless particle", J. Math. Phys. **36**, 4691 (1995); Erratum, *ibidem* **37** 4769 (1996).

[14] Posilicano, A, "Poincaré invariant Markov processes and gaussian random fields on relativistic phase–spaces", Letters in Math. Physics, Vol 42, **85**, (1997).

[15] Schweber, S. S., *An introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory* (Harper & Row, New York, 1961).